#### Status of Mexican Spotted Owls and Use of Dry Mixed-conifer Forest

#### Joseph L. Ganey, Rocky Mountain Research Station Shaula J. Hedwall, US Fish and Wildlife Service



## Road Map

- Background/ Natural history
- Characteristics of dry mixed-conifer forests used by owls
- Reasons behind habitat use
- Lead-in to subsequent talk

































### Diet Composition (Ganey 1992, Seamans and Gutiérrez 1999)











## Use of Nest Structures



## **Nesting Chronology**



 Eggs laid early to mid - April Eggs hatch early - to mid - May Young fledge early – to late – June

 Young disperse Sep - Oct

## Life History Characteristics

- Breeding sporadic
  Clutch size low (1 - 3)
- Adult survival high (>85% most yrs)
- Juvenile survival lower, variable



## **Population Trend**

Study area	Years	Lambda
Tularosa Mtns <sup>1</sup>	1991–98	0.857
Coconino Plateau <sup>1</sup>	1991–98	0.896
Four NM ranges <sup>2</sup>	1991–99	0.803
Sacramento Mtns <sup>3</sup>	2005—09	Females: 1.088 Males: 1.073

<sup>1</sup> Seamans et al. 1999
<sup>2</sup> Stacey and Peery 2002
<sup>3</sup> Ganey et al. unpublished

### Owl Pair Home Range Size (ac) (Ganey and Dick 1995)

<b>Recovery Unit</b>	Study areas	Owl pairs	Mean	Range
Upper Gila Mountains	3	10	3,311	942 – 3,833
Basin and Range - East	2	8	2,239	1,416 – 3,462

## **Activity Centers**



### Nest Sites by Cover Type (Ganey and Dick 1995)



 Tularosa Mountains (Seamans and Gutiérrez 1995) Dbh = 23.9 ± 8.8 in Age = 163.6 ± 44.8 yrs Coconino Plateau (May et al. 2004) Mean dbh = 24.6 in

Sacramento Mountains (Ganey unpublished data)
Dbh = 28.9 ± 0.9 in

## Nest Trees



### Nest or Roost Tree Use by Species



### Nest or Roost Tree Use by Species



#### Landscape Composition – Tularosa Mtns (Peery et al. 1999)



### Use of Cover Types – Tularosa Mtns. (Seamans and Gutiérrez 1995)



#### Topographic Position/Aspect (Seamans and Gutiérrez 1995)



 Mean aspect was northerly (336°), and differed from random
 % slope did not differ

### Forest Structure – Tularosa Mountains (Seamans and Gutiérrez 1995)

	Nest sites (n = 27)		Random sites (n = 27)	
Parameter	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Tree height variance	2.2	1.0	1.1	1.0
Basal area > 18 in dbh	54.0	45.7	18.7	26.1
Mean tree height (m)	20.4	5.8	13.9	5.7
Canopy closure (%)	75.9	14.1	56.3	20.4
Tree dbh variance	8.3	7.0	5.8	4.5

### Use of Cover Types – Coconino Plateau (May et al. 2004)

Forest type	% of study area	% of nests
Mixed-conifer	5	38.1
Pine-oak	78	61.9

#### Topographic position/Aspect (May et al. 2004)



### Forest Structure - Coconino Plateau (May et al. 2004)

	Nest sites (n = 97)		Random sites (n = 110)	
Parameter	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Slope (%)	27.6	14.3	8.7	7.9
Hardwood ba >18 in dbh	14.4	22.7	2.2	6.5
Canopy closure (%)	79.0	11.1	50.0	21.4

#### 41% of nests were in cavities in Gambel oak

### Use of Cover Types – San Mateo Mtns. (Stacey and Hodgson 1999)



Topographic Position (Stacey and Hodgson 1999)

 85% of roosts were in canyon bottoms

 15% were in or adjacent to large cliffs on middle to upper third of canyon slopes

#### Forest Structure - San Mateo Mountains Stacey and Hodgson 1999

Parameter	Roost (n = 64)	Random (n= 69)	Random MC (n = 36)
Basal area	85.8 ± 42.7	73.6 ± 37.5	84.5 ± 38.8
QUGA basal area	24.0 ± 20.5	4.8 ± 8.7	6.1 ± 10.5
Canopy closure (%)	59.2 ± 17.2	42.6 ± 20.1	51.9 ± 18.7

# Primary Characteristics of owl habitat in dry MC forests

- Large trees
- High canopy closure/ layering
- Hardwoods!!
- Size class diversity
- Lower slopes



## Why Large trees?



Nest sites
Prey den/ nest sites
Food for prey

## Why High Canopy Closure/Layering ?

- Microclimate/ shade?
- Hiding cover?
- Habitat partitioning?
- Or does it come with large trees?



## Why Hardwoods?



 Nest sites Food source for prey Hiding cover Canopy layering/ microclimate

### Why Steep Slopes/ Lower Slopes/ North Aspects?

 Management history
 Microclimate
 Site potential/ Forest structure







